

The Separation Anxiety Hypothesis of Panic Disorder Revisited: A Meta-Analysis

Joe Kossowsky, Ph.D.

Monique C. Pfaltz, Ph.D.

Silvia Schneider, Ph.D.

Jan Taeymans, Ph.D.

Cosima Locher, B.Sc.

Jens Gaab, Ph.D.

Objective: Evidence suggests that childhood separation anxiety disorder may be associated with a heightened risk for the development of other disorders in adulthood. The authors conducted a meta-analysis to examine the relationship between childhood separation anxiety disorder and future psychopathology.

Method: PubMed, PsycINFO, and Embase were searched for studies published through December 2011. Case-control, prospective, and retrospective cohort studies comparing children with and without separation anxiety disorder with regard to future panic disorder, major depressive disorder, any anxiety disorder, and substance use disorders were included in the analysis. Effects were summarized as pooled odds ratios in a random-effects model.

Results: Twenty-five studies met all inclusion criteria (14,855 participants). A meta-analysis of 20 studies indicated that children with separation anxiety disorder

were more likely to develop panic disorder later on (odds ratio=3.45; 95% CI=2.37–5.03). Five studies suggested that a childhood diagnosis of separation anxiety disorder increases the risk of future anxiety (odds ratio=2.19; 95% CI=1.40–3.42). After adjusting for publication bias, the results of 14 studies indicated that childhood separation anxiety disorder does not increase the risk of future depression (odds ratio=1.06; 95% CI=0.78–1.45). Five studies indicated that childhood separation anxiety disorder does not increase the risk of substance use disorders (odds ratio=1.27; 95% CI=0.80–2.03). Of the subgroup analyses performed, differences in comparison groups and sample type significantly affected odds ratio sizes.

Conclusions: A childhood diagnosis of separation anxiety disorder significantly increases the risk of panic disorder and any anxiety disorder. These results support a developmental psychopathology conceptualization of anxiety disorders.

Am J Psychiatry Kossowsky et al.; AiA:1–14

Separation anxiety disorder is characterized by persistent, excessive, and developmentally inappropriate fear of separation from major attachment figures, usually parents (1). It is one of the most frequently diagnosed childhood anxiety disorders, with lifetime prevalence rates between 4.1% and 5.1% (2, 3).

Suggestions of a specific link between separation anxiety in childhood and adult panic disorder can be found in the early work of Klein (4), who noted that imipramine blocked the key panic attacks in patients hospitalized for agoraphobia. Review of the patients' histories indicated that those with early onset also had separation anxiety. Klein's separation anxiety hypothesis stimulated much research leading to ambiguous results. At present, the consequences of childhood separation anxiety disorder for future mental disorders are not clear. Some studies have identified it as a specific risk factor for adult panic disorder (4), others have identified it as a general risk factor for multiple adult anxiety and nonanxious disorders (5–7), and yet others have demonstrated that it may continue into adulthood (8).

One of the first attempts to review the literature on the relationship between separation anxiety disorder and mental disorders in adulthood was by Silove et al. (9), who

analyzed the early evidence for an association between childhood separation anxiety disorder and adult panic disorder with agoraphobia. They concluded that there was evidence supporting the link, although the specificity of that relationship required further clarification. However, they focused exclusively on the link between separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder with agoraphobia. Since then, a considerable number of retrospective, as well as longitudinal, studies have been published (5–7, 10–13), indicating that the volume of evidence on this topic has rapidly increased. To our knowledge, however, no systematic review or meta-analysis addressing the outcomes of childhood separation anxiety disorder has been published. Consequently, a meta-analysis examining the outcomes of childhood separation anxiety disorder is of highest clinical and conceptual relevance.

To test the relationship between childhood separation anxiety disorder and subsequent mental disorders in adolescence and adulthood, we performed meta-analyses of epidemiological studies investigating the association between childhood separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder, anxiety disorders in general, major depressive disorder, or substance use disorders. Selected outcomes were chosen because of their theoretical

TABLE 1. Selected Characteristics of Studies Investigating the Association Between Childhood Separation Anxiety Disorder and Subsequent Mental Illnesses^a

Study	Group (Disorder)	Study Participants N	Mean Age or Range	SD	Female (%)	Study Design	Sample Type
Aschenbrand et al. (2003)	Clinical control; separation anxiety disorder	67 18	19.3 (Total sample)	1.7	36	Prospective cohort	Clinical
Ayuso et al. (1989)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder	50 107	No data 35.1	9.0	65	Case control	Clinical
Balon et al. (1989)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder	100 100	29.5 32.8	5.9 8.0	55	Case control	Clinical
Bandelow et al. (2001)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder	124 115	36.8 38.1	11.6 10.6	58	Case control	Clinical
Battaglia et al. (1995)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder	131 231	37.3 36.3	12.0 11.0	68	Case control	Clinical
Biederman et al. (2005) ^b	Nonclinical control referred; panic disorder in referred sample; nonclinical control nonreferred; panic disorder in nonreferred sample	61 131 587 58	38.3 38.8 42.4 40.5	5.1 5.4 5.8 6.4	48 85	Case control	Population
Biederman et al. (2005) ^c	Nonclinical control; panic disorder; major depressive disorder	686 23 225	42.1 42.5 41.8	6.0 5.5 5.9	51	Case control	Population
Biederman et al. (2006)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder	960 58	42.0 40.5	6.0 6.4	51	Case control	Population
Biederman et al. (2007)	Separation anxiety disorder; non-separation anxiety disorder	41 192	6.4 (Total sample)	No data	No data	Prospective cohort	Population
Bittner et al. (2007)	Separation anxiety disorder; non-separation anxiety disorder	68 812	9–19 (Total sample)		50	Prospective cohort	Population
Brückl et al. (2007)	Separation anxiety disorder; subthreshold separation anxiety disorder; symptomatic separation anxiety disorder; non-separation anxiety disorder	17 99 86 888	14–17 (Total sample)		52	Prospective cohort	Population
Flakierska-Praquin et al. (1997)	Nonclinical control; clinical control; separation anxiety disorder	35 35 35	7–12 (Total sample)		54	Prospective cohort	Clinical
Gregory et al. (2007)	Nonclinical control; clinical control; panic disorder	640 307 16	32 (Total sample)	No data	62	Case control	Population

^a OCD=obsessive-compulsive disorder; STROBE=Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology.^b The data refer to the Biederman et al. study on childhood antecedents to panic disorder (13).^c The data refer to the Biederman et al. study on patterns of comorbidity in panic disorder and major depression (17).

Outcome	Comparison Group	Clinical Control Disorder	Separation Anxiety Disorder Measure	Separation Anxiety Disorder Measure Criteria	Informant	STROBE Score
Anxiety disorders; major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Clinical control	Generalized anxiety disorder; social phobia	Interview	DSM-III-R	Parent and child	18.5
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Checklist	DSM-III	Proband	11
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Checklist	DSM-III	Proband	7
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Interview; checklist	DSM-IV	Proband	16.5
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control	Panic disorder; major depressive disorder; social phobia; simple phobia	Checklist	DSM-III-R	Proband	14.5
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Interview	DSM-III-R	Proband	17.5
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Interview	DSM-III-R	Proband	15.5
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Interview	DSM-III-R	Proband	17.5
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-III-R	Parent and child	16.5
Major depressive disorder; substance dependence	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-IV	Parent and child	17
Anxiety disorders; major depressive disorder; panic disorder; substance dependence	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-IV	Child	15.5
Anxiety disorders; major depressive disorder; panic disorder; substance dependence	Clinical control, nonclinical control	Oppositional disorder; attention deficit disorder; dysthymia; anxiety disorders; OCD; tics; reading disorder; enuresis/encopresis; atypical stereotyped movement disorder; adjustment disorder	Medical records	DSM-III	No data	15.5
Panic disorder	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview; checklist	DSM-III	Parent and child	12

continued

TABLE 1. Selected Characteristics of Studies Investigating the Association Between Childhood Separation Anxiety Disorder and Subsequent Mental Illnesses^a (continued)

Study	Group (Disorder)	Study Participants N	Mean Age or Range	SD	Female (%)	Study Design	Sample Type
Hayward et al. (2000)	Major depressive disorder; non-separation anxiety disorder	124 1,917	15.4 (Total sample)	0.9	47	Prospective cohort	Population
Hayward et al. (2003)	Panic disorder; clinical control	12 2,342	15.4 (Total sample)	0.9	47	Case control	Population
Lewinsohn et al. (2008)	Nonclinical control; clinical control; separation anxiety disorder; anxiety disorders	457 389 42 88	16.1 (Total sample)	No data	No data	Prospective cohort	Population
Lipsitz et al. (1994)	Panic disorder; social phobia; OCD;	156 106 51	34.5 (Total sample)	8.4	59	Case control	Clinical
Mroczkowski et al. (2011)	Clinical control; separation anxiety disorder	390 80	42.2 34.2	14.7 12.9	71	Retrospective cohort	Clinical
Otto et al. (2001)	Panic disorder; social phobia	133 97	36.4	9.7	34	Case control	Clinical
Pine et al. (1998)	Non-separation anxiety disorder; separation anxiety disorder	601 111	9–18 (Total sample)	No data	50	Prospective cohort	Population
Pini et al. (2005)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder; major depressive disorder	15 24 20	27.1 32.5 45.6	6.0 9.3 8.3	54	Case control	Clinical
Pini et al. (2010)	Non-separation anxiety disorder; adult separation anxiety disorder with history of childhood separation anxiety; separation anxiety disorder	250 110 43	42.0 39.4 36.9	12.1 13.3 10.5	66	Case control	Clinical
Raskin et al. (1982)	Clinical control; panic disorder	16 17	21–54 24–60	No data	70	Case control	Clinical
Silove et al. (2002)	Non-separation anxiety disorder; separation anxiety disorder	20 52	45.0 (Total sample)	15.0	65	Retrospective cohort	Population
Yeragani et al. (1989)	Nonclinical control; panic disorder; major depressive disorder	30 35 24	33.4 29.6 31.7	6.2 6.3 5.1	54	Case control	Clinical

relation to separation anxiety disorder (8), as well as a sufficient number of empirical studies investigating the associations. In addition, possible moderators were identified by examining subgroup analyses.

Method

Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

We performed searches in PubMed, PsycINFO, and Embase of studies published through December 31, 2011, using the

keyword “separation anxiety.” In addition, the references of all included articles were reviewed, and researchers in the field were contacted and asked if they were aware of additional relevant publications. Eligibility judgments were performed independently by two reviewers (J.K. and C.L.). Inconsistencies were resolved in consensus meetings and confirmed with a third reviewer (M.C.P.) when necessary. We included peer-reviewed published articles comparing children with separation anxiety disorder with children without separation anxiety disorder or with other mental disorders with regard to future mental disorders in adolescence and adulthood. Case-control, prospective, and

Outcome	Comparison Group	Clinical Control Disorder	Separation Anxiety Disorder Measure	Separation Anxiety Disorder Measure Criteria	Informant	STROBE Score
Major depressive disorder	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-III-R	Child	13.5
Panic disorder	Nonclinical control	No data	Interview	DSM-III-R	Child	12.5
Anxiety disorders; major depressive disorder; panic disorder; substance dependence	Clinical control, nonclinical control	Affective disorder; nonaffective disorder; psychotic disorder; adjustment disorder	Interview	DSM-III-R	Child	17.5
Panic disorder	Clinical control	Panic disorder; social phobia; OCD	Interview	DSM-III-R	Proband	13.5
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder; substance dependence	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-IV	Proband	13
Panic disorder	Clinical control	Social phobia	Interview	DSM-III-R	Proband	13.5
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-III; DSM-III-R	Parent and child	15
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Interview	DSM-IV	Proband	13.5
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder; anxiety disorders	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview	DSM-IV	Proband	14.5
Panic disorder	Clinical control	Generalized anxiety disorder	No data	DSM-III	Proband	10.5
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Non-separation anxiety disorder		Interview; checklist	DSM-IV	Proband	14
Major depressive disorder; panic disorder	Nonclinical control		Checklist	DSM-III	Proband	7.5

retrospective cohort studies that were in either English or German were included. Only studies using explicit, reliable, and reproducible diagnostic criteria for separation anxiety disorder and outcome variables, which were based on DSM-III, DSM-III-R, DSM-IV, or ICD-10, were included. Outcomes had to be reported as odds ratios or in other ways that allowed for their calculation. Prospective studies with a follow-up period less than 2 years were excluded, as were case reports, comments, letters, and reviews. Any disagreements were discussed, and a consensus was reached. With these criteria, 25 studies were identified and included in our analysis (5–7, 10, 11, 13–32) (Table 1).

Quality Assessment

Two of the reviewers (M.C.P. and C.L.) independently evaluated the methodological quality of the studies using the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) statement (33). Items 11, 12c, 16b, 16c, 17, and 22 of the STROBE were disregarded because they were either not applicable to the studies under investigation or did not appropriately differentiate between studies of low and high quality. For items 7 and 16a, the studies were rated by assigning 0, 0.5, or 1 point as appropriate. For the remaining items, the studies were rated by assigning 0 or 1 point. Disagreements

regarding data extraction or quality of the studies were resolved by consensus among reviewers.

Data Extraction and Analysis

Data were extracted independently by two of the reviewers (J.K. and M.C.P.). Inconsistencies were resolved in consensus meetings. Extracted data were converted to odds ratio effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals reflecting the probability of unfavorable outcomes, with odds ratios above 1 reflecting a greater likelihood of future psychopathology in individuals with a history of childhood separation anxiety disorder compared with those without. Data management, log-transformation of effect sizes, and calculation of the pooled mean effect sizes were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis, version 2.0 (www.meta-analysis.com). Since considerable heterogeneity was expected, all analyses were performed with a random-effects model. We used this model because it is preferable to fixed-effects models, particularly with relatively small numbers of studies with expected high heterogeneity (34). Log-transformed odds ratio estimates were pooled using the inverse of their variance as weights (35).

The majority of cohort studies prospectively investigated separation anxiety disorder samples compared with non-separation anxiety disorder samples, which included individuals with no history of childhood separation anxiety disorder but with other potential present or lifetime diagnoses. Case-control studies retrospectively investigated the clinical population under investigation (i.e., individuals with panic disorder, major depressive disorder, other anxiety disorders, or substance use disorders) compared with either a clinical comparison group including subjects with a variety of current disorders other than the outcome variable under investigation (these disorders are listed in Table 1) or a nonclinical comparison group (i.e., healthy or nonpsychiatric comparison subjects), with regard to a history of separation anxiety disorder. Two studies included data for both nonclinical and clinical comparison subjects (7, 21). To avoid the problem of correlated data, the odds ratios for these studies were calculated for a pooled comparison group and not included in the subgroup analysis differentiating between the comparison groups. One study included two separate samples (15), and the data were treated as belonging to separate cohorts.

To assess heterogeneity between studies, we used the Q statistic. A statistically significant Q indicates a heterogeneous distribution of odds ratios between studies, meaning that systematic differences, possibly influencing the results, are present (36). In addition, the degree of inconsistency was quantified by the I^2 statistic, which measures the percentage of variation across studies that is a result of heterogeneity rather than chance (37). A value of 0% means no heterogeneity, and higher values indicate greater heterogeneity. Generally, heterogeneity is considered low at an I^2 value of 25%, moderate at a value of 50%, and high at a value of 75% (37).

Additional sensitivity analyses explored the effects of various possible sources of artifact or bias on the results. First, we assessed the presence of publication bias visually by funnel plot (38) and formally by its direct statistical analogues, the Begg adjusted-rank correlation test (39) and the fail-safe N method (40). Sensitivity to the estimate of publication bias was assessed by the trim-and-fill method (41). Second, we performed subgroup analyses to test for significant differences between odds ratios in different categories of studies. Of greatest interest were differences with regard to comparison groups, study type, study population, diagnostic criteria (i.e., DSM-III, DSM-IV), differential symptom assessments (i.e., participant report, parental report, or both), data collection methods (i.e., questionnaire, structured interview), sociodemographic characteristics (i.e., age, sex, family background, familial stress, parental psychopathology), and severity of and age at onset of separation anxiety disorder. Furthermore,

we assessed the impact of study quality on the meta-analysis results. To evaluate the statistical stability of results, studies rated as "poor" in quality (i.e., STROBE values <10) were removed in a separate analysis, and the pooled odds ratio, with 95% confidence interval, was recalculated and compared with the original results. Differences between odds ratios were calculated using a z test (42).

Results

Study Selection

The study selection procedure is summarized in Figure 1.


Association Between Separation Anxiety Disorder and Future Mental Disorders

In investigating the association between separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder, we first assessed whether there was a difference between the independent studies investigating the association between separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder and the association between separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder with agoraphobia. We found that this was not the case (odds ratio=3.59; 95% confidence interval [CI]=2.92–4.42, compared with odds ratio=4.19; 95% CI=2.15–8.15; $p=0.66$). We therefore combined the two panic disorder groups.

Twenty-five studies were included in the meta-analysis (Table 1). Meta-analysis revealed that children with a history of separation anxiety disorder were more likely than those without to develop panic disorder later on (odds ratio=3.45, 95% CI=2.37–5.03) (Figure 2). We identified significant heterogeneity across studies ($Q=79.01$, $df=23$, $p<0.001$; $I^2=70.89$, $\tau^2=0.50$). There was no evident publication bias in a funnel plot. The Begg's test result was not statistically significant, and the fail-safe N indicated that 782 unpublished null studies would be needed to remove the significance from the findings. The trim-and-fill method did not lead to any adjustment of the odds ratio.

Five studies were included to test the association between separation anxiety disorder and any anxiety disorder (Table 1). Because of the problem of adult anxiety comorbidity in case-control studies, it was not possible to combine various anxiety disorder groups for those studies. We therefore included only studies that specifically included any anxiety disorder as an outcome. Two of the five studies included odds ratios for any anxiety disorder other than panic disorder (5, 7), while three studies included odds ratios for any anxiety disorder including panic disorder (6, 21, 29). However, the odds ratios of the former and the latter studies did not differ from each other. All five studies exhibited moderate heterogeneity ($Q=7.55$, $df=4$, $p=0.11$; $I^2=47.04$, $\tau^2=0.11$). Results suggested that a childhood diagnosis of separation anxiety disorder significantly increases the risk of any anxiety disorder (odds ratio=2.19; 95% CI=1.40–3.42). Because of the low number of studies, no sensitivity analyses or publication bias was assessed.

FIGURE 1. Study Selection Procedure for a Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Childhood Separation Anxiety and Future Psychopathology



Fourteen studies were included to test the association between separation anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder (Table 1, Figure 3). We identified significant moderate heterogeneity across studies ($Q=31.71$, $df=13$, $p=0.003$; $I^2=59.01$, $\tau^2=0.14$). Results suggested that a childhood diagnosis of separation anxiety disorder significantly increases the risk for the development of major depressive disorder (odds ratio=1.36; 95% CI=1.01–1.83). However, there was evidence of some possible publication bias in a funnel plot. The Begg's test result was not significant, and the fail-safe N indicated that 26 unpublished null studies would be needed to remove the significance from the findings. The trim-and-fill method led to a nonsignificant corrected odds ratio of 1.06 (95% CI=0.78–1.45).

Five studies were included to test the association between separation anxiety disorder and substance use disorders (Table 1). These studies revealed good between-study homogeneity ($Q=5.84$, $df=4$, $p=0.21$; $I^2=31.41$, $\tau^2=0.09$). Results suggested that a childhood diagnosis of separation anxiety disorder does not increase the risk of substance use disorders (odds ratio=1.27; 95% CI=0.80–2.03). Because of the low number of studies, no sensitivity analyses or publication bias was assessed.

Sensitivity Analyses and Moderator Variables


Because of the small number of studies investigating substance use disorders and any anxiety disorder as

outcomes, sensitivity analyses were performed only for the association between separation anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and major depressive disorder (Table 2). Studies with nonclinical comparison subjects revealed that individuals with separation anxiety disorder were more likely to develop panic disorder ($p<0.001$) and major depression ($p=0.02$) than individuals in studies with clinical comparison subjects. Furthermore, population studies reported a significantly higher association with panic disorder ($p=0.03$) and major depression ($p<0.01$) than clinical studies. The nonclinical comparison subjects were similarly distributed between the two sample types.

No differences were found between the different types of studies (i.e., case-control, prospective, and retrospective cohort studies), diagnostic criteria, data collection methods, and differential symptom assessments. However, only five studies included both parents and participants as informants, while the remaining studies relied solely on participants. To evaluate the statistical stability of the results, the two studies with low STROBE scores (15, 31) were removed. The removal of these studies decreased the overall effect on the separation anxiety disorder-panic disorder link by only 6% and the link to major depression by 1.5%.

No meta-analyses were performed for the moderator variables described below because of the low number of studies including these moderator variables. However, we

FIGURE 2. Meta-Analysis of Studies Investigating the Association Between Childhood Separation Anxiety Disorder (SAD) and Future Panic Disorder (Random Effects)^a



^a The red diamonds indicate the combined effect sizes for studies with clinical, nonclinical, and non-separation anxiety disorder comparison groups, as well as the overall effect size of the meta-analysis (top to bottom). An asterisk indicates that the study included two separate separation anxiety disorder samples, and the data were treated as belonging to separate cohorts.

summarize the study results here because of their potential relevance to future psychopathology.


Aschenbrand et al. (5) observed no significant differences between individuals who were successfully treated as children and those who were not successfully treated as children with regard to the frequency of clinical panic disorder at long-term follow-up evaluation. No other studies compared these two groups.

Only two studies (15, 16) explicitly investigated the link between separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder separately for male and female subjects, yielding conflicting

results, and only three studies (6, 7, 27) included sex as a covariable.

Four studies provided information about the age at onset of separation anxiety disorder (6, 7, 26, 29), but none examined the influence of this variable on the development of future psychopathology. One study examined parental psychopathology and found that the familial risk of panic disorder was similar for patients both with and without separation anxiety disorder (16). Only three studies (7, 20, 27) included comorbidities during childhood as a covariable in the analyses. None of the studies clearly

FIGURE 3. Meta-Analysis of Studies Investigating the Association Between Childhood Separation Anxiety Disorder (SAD) and Future Major Depressive Disorder (Random Effects)^a



^a The red diamonds indicate the combined effect sizes for studies with clinical, nonclinical, and non-separation anxiety disorder comparison groups, as well as the overall effect size of the meta-analysis (top to bottom).

controlled for comorbid adult anxiety disorders or investigate the influence of separation anxiety disorder severity level.

Discussion

Our meta-analysis addressed the possible development of panic disorder, any anxiety disorder, major depressive disorder, and substance use disorders in children with separation anxiety disorder. The results indicate that a childhood diagnosis of separation anxiety disorder significantly increases the risk of panic disorder but also of other anxiety disorders, as indicated by the association with any anxiety disorder as well as the nonsignificant association with panic disorder when compared with other anxiety disorders. After adjusting for possible publication bias, no association with major depression or substance use disorders was found.

There was evidence of both clinical and statistical heterogeneity in the included studies. Studies using non-clinical comparison subjects as reference groups often

obtained significantly higher overall odds ratios than studies using clinical comparison and non-separation anxiety disorder reference groups and demonstrated that children with separation anxiety disorder have almost six times the odds of developing panic disorder than children without separation anxiety disorder. However, the nonsignificant association of separation anxiety disorder with panic disorder in studies with clinical comparison subjects with various anxiety disorders indicates that children with separation anxiety disorder do not have a greater risk of developing panic disorder than of developing other anxiety disorders. Even in studies using comparable reference groups, odds ratios were substantially influenced by the amount of separation anxiety symptoms in the reference group. For example, the odds ratios for lifetime panic disorder in one study (6) was 51.2 when compared with a reference group with no separation anxiety symptoms, compared with an odds ratio of 28.2 when a subthreshold reference group with symptoms was used (T. Brückl, personal communication, March 2012). In summary, the lack of a clear standard with regard

TABLE 2. Selected Results of Publication Bias and Sensitivity Analyses

Variable	Outcome			
	Panic Disorder	df or 95% CI	Major Depressive Disorder	df or 95% CI
Publication bias				
Funnel plot distribution	Symmetrical		Asymmetrical	
Begg's adjusted-rank correlation (p value)	0.45		0.29	
Classic fail-safe N	782		26	
Comparison group				
Separation anxiety disorder compared with clinical control				
Heterogeneity ^a	0.00	3	0	0
Heterogeneity ^b	0		0	
Odds ratio	0.89	0.58–1.37	0.96	0.39–2.38
Separation anxiety disorder compared with non-separation anxiety disorder				
Heterogeneity ^a	71.09**	6	53.28*	7
Heterogeneity ^b	0.51		0.09	
Odds ratio	3.70	1.87–7.34	1.04	0.87–1.24
Separation anxiety disorder compared with nonclinical control				
Heterogeneity ^a	0.00	10	0.00	2
Heterogeneity ^b	0		0	
Odds ratio	5.73	4.15–7.92	3.69	1.85–7.35
Odds ratio of nonclinical control compared with odds ratio of clinical control ^c	6.78***		2.32*	
Odds ratio of nonclinical control compared with odds ratio of non-separation anxiety disorder ^c	1.13		3.49***	
Odds ratio of clinical control compared with odds ratio of non-separation anxiety disorder ^c	3.45***		0.17	
Study type				
Case control				
Heterogeneity ^a	74.80***	15	79.71***	4
Heterogeneity ^b	0.58		0.63	
Odds ratio	3.36	2.09–5.41	1.43	0.59–3.45
Prospective				
Heterogeneity ^a	68.50**	6	12.57	7
Heterogeneity ^b	0.79		0.02	
Odds ratio	4.08	1.75–9.52	1.43	1.11–1.86
Retrospective cohort				
Heterogeneity ^a	0	0	0	0
Heterogeneity ^b	0		0	
Odds ratio	2.60	0.98–6.93	1.10	0.80–1.51
Odds ratio of case control compared with odds ratio of prospective ^c	0.39		0	
Odds ratio of case control compared with odds ratio of retrospective ^c	0.46		0.55	
Odds ratio of prospective compared with odds ratio of retrospective ^c	0.68		1.25	
DSM criteria				
DSM-III/DSM-III-R				
Heterogeneity ^a	69.61***	18	70.85***	8
Heterogeneity ^b	0.47		0.24	
Odds ratio	3.18	2.11–4.81	1.45	0.94–2.23
DSM-IV/DSM-IV-TR				
Heterogeneity ^a	82.65***	3	1.51	4
Heterogeneity ^b	1.58		0	
Odds ratio	4.79	1.13–20.29	1.14	0.87–1.50
Odds ratio of DSM-III compared with odds ratio of DSM-IV ^c	0.53		0.92	

continued

TABLE 2. Selected Results of Publication Bias and Sensitivity Analyses (*continued*)

Variable	Outcome			
	Panic Disorder	df or 95% CI	Major Depressive Disorder	df or 95% CI
Sample type				
Clinical				
Heterogeneity ^a	67.55***	13	3.14	5
Heterogeneity ^b	0.38		0.01	
Odds ratio	2.40	1.53–3.76	0.77	0.55–1.07
Population				
Heterogeneity ^a	68.22***	10	56.51*	7
Heterogeneity ^b	0.65		0.10	
Odds ratio	5.63	3.01–10.50	1.63	1.18–2.25
Odds ratio of clinical compared with odds ratio of population ^c	2.17*		3.17**	

^a The data represent the variance between studies as a proportion of the total variance; heterogeneity was tested using the I^2 statistic (low heterogeneity=25%; moderate heterogeneity=50%; high heterogeneity=75%). The p values refer to significance of the Q statistic (the I^2 statistic does not include a test of significance).

^b Heterogeneity was tested using the tau² statistic, which estimates the between-study variance.

^c The data represent the Z value of the test of interaction between different categories of studies (Altman and Bland [42]).

* $p \leq 0.05$. ** $p \leq 0.01$. *** $p \leq 0.001$.

to comparison groups makes it difficult to compare the effects of other possible moderators and their possible interactions. The use of a standardized comparison group would allow for more accurate statements regarding the described confounding effects of heterogeneity.

Two interesting nonfindings deserve emphasis. The association between separation anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder was nonsignificant after correcting for publication bias. Many reasons for publication bias and ways of dealing with it have been identified (43, 44). The trim-and-fill method imputes the number and most probable results of unpublished experiments to calculate an estimate of what the effect size would be in the absence of publication bias (41). However, even without eliminating publication bias, the association was borderline significant. In summary, the data suggest that the association with major depression is weak, but more studies, especially longitudinal studies, are necessary to clarify the issue. The nonfinding of substance use disorders might be due to the samples investigated. Studies differentiating between men and women might uncover possible sex-specific courses and outcomes, such as substance use disorders, which are commonly found in men but not women. Although unexpected, the nonsignificant effect owing to study type and informant type cannot be explained by the possible confounding effect of a strong coincidence with either nonclinical or clinical comparison subjects.

This study has several limitations. First, we included articles published in English and German but not in other languages. Second, methodological differences between the studies limit the generalizability of the results. Similarly, because of the low number of outcome studies investigating anxiety in general and substance use disorders, these results should be considered preliminary and

require further investigation. Third, lifetime diagnoses based on retrospective report may be subject to recall bias (45), although we found no difference between retrospective and prospective studies. It may be that separation anxiety is less vulnerable to recall bias because of the prominent and observable nature of the disorder and the impairment it causes in daily life activities. Fourth, despite the fact that our data suggest an association between separation anxiety disorder and future pathology, they do not allow for comments about causality. Although it is possible that separation anxiety disorder is a causal agent for subsequent psychopathology, it is also possible that childhood separation anxiety disorder and adult anxiety and panic pathology may be caused by a common underlying vulnerability. Lewinsohn et al. (7) proposed that if the latter is true, then it might also be true that separation anxiety disorder is a marker for severity of the underlying vulnerability. The use of a quantitative measure of separation anxiety disorder severity, such as the Separation Anxiety Symptom Inventory (46), might help in shedding light on the issue. Finally, because only few studies addressed the issue of childhood or adult anxiety comorbidity, our results do not address the specificity of the association between separation anxiety disorder and the outcomes in adulthood. It remains unclear whether the individual studies were adequately powered to detect a difference between panic disorder and other anxiety disorders. This problem posed by a naturalistic study design and the consequent naturalistic problem of positive publication cannot be rectified by statistical adjustment procedures, such as the trim-and-fill method. It is further plausible that links between childhood separation anxiety disorder and future psychopathology are attributable, completely or partially, to the presence of the comorbid condition (e.g., adult separation anxiety disorder) (11).

Studies specifically comparing children with other psychopathologies and healthy children with regard to future psychopathology and controlling for adult comorbidity would be necessary to correctly estimate the specific and nonspecific effect of separation anxiety disorder and whether it is a specific risk factor for panic disorder or a general risk factor for future anxiety disorders.

Despite these limitations, we found clear indications for an association between childhood separation anxiety disorder and future anxiety and panic disorders. These results support a developmental psychopathology conceptualization of anxiety disorders, a perspective that is expected to be strengthened in DSM-5 (47). Preliminary evidence suggests a developmental pathway that may start with exaggerated stranger anxiety in infancy (48). Understanding stranger anxiety as an age-dependent developmental task may provide new insight to our understanding of the etiology of separation anxiety disorder. Developmental cascades and developmental tasks are integrally related (49). Thus, the effects of maladaptive functioning in a domain early in development can become more pervasive or diffuse as that function affects the quality of the child's experience, amplifying its effect and ultimately becoming entrenched as a more significant disorder (50). Children suffering from separation anxiety disorder may be hindered early in life in developing skills to help cope with anxiety and strong emotions, both being important for healthy development.

The pathophysiological processes behind the developmental pathway in separation anxiety disorder remain unclear. However, the early onset of separation anxiety disorder suggests that genetic factors and shared environmental factors may be of particular importance (51). It can be assumed that shared environmental influences in the family decrease with age, having their greatest effects during childhood (52). Consistent with this assumption, twin studies have revealed no or only small shared environmental influences on anxiety disorders in adulthood (53–55) but a significant influence in children and adolescents (52, 56, 57), accounting for approximately 14%–21% of the variance (58, 59). Current psychophysiological studies postulating a unique genetic association between separation anxiety disorder and the development of adult-onset panic attacks have shown that children with separation anxiety disorder exhibit manifest CO₂ sensitivity (60–62). The study of further pathological mechanisms shared by both separation anxiety disorder and panic disorder patients is needed, such as the role of attachment (63). With regard to clinical care, the meta-analytical evidence of an association with future anxiety and panic disorder calls attention to the importance recognizing and treating separation anxiety disorder as early as possible. Treatment studies have shown that separation anxiety disorder can be successfully treated with disorder-specific parent-child cognitive-behavioral therapy (64).

Received July 9, 2012; revisions received Oct. 25 and Nov. 28, 2012; accepted Dec. 4, 2012 (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12070893). From the Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Basel, Switzerland; Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.; Faculty of Psychology, Ruhr University Bochum, Germany; and Bern University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland. Address correspondence to Dr. Kossowsky (joe.kossowsky@unibas.ch).

The authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

References

- Allen JL, Lavalley KL, Herren C, Ruhe K, Schneider S: DSM-IV criteria for childhood separation anxiety disorder: informant, age, and sex differences. *J Anxiety Disord* 2010; 24:946–952
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE: Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; 62:593–602
- Shear K, Jin R, Ruscio AM, Walters EE, Kessler RC: Prevalence and correlates of estimated DSM-IV child and adult separation anxiety disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; 163:1074–1083
- Klein DF: Delineation of two drug-responsive anxiety syndromes. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1964; 5:397–408
- Aschenbrand SG, Kendall PC, Webb A, Safford SM, Flannery-Schroeder E: Is childhood separation anxiety disorder a predictor of adult panic disorder and agoraphobia? A seven-year longitudinal study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2003; 42:1478–1485
- Brückl TM, Wittchen HU, Höfler M, Pfister H, Schneider S, Lieb R: Childhood separation anxiety and the risk of subsequent psychopathology: Results from a community study. *Psychother Psychosom* 2007; 76:47–56
- Lewinsohn PM, Holm-Denoma JM, Small JW, Seeley JR, Joiner TE Jr: Separation anxiety disorder in childhood as a risk factor for future mental illness. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2008; 47:548–555
- Manicavasagar V, Silove D, Hadzi-Pavlovic D: Subpopulations of early separation anxiety: relevance to risk of adult anxiety disorders. *J Affect Disord* 1998; 48:181–190
- Silove D, Manicavasagar V, Curtis J, Blaszczynski A: Is early separation anxiety a risk factor for adult panic disorder?: a critical review. *Compr Psychiatry* 1996; 37:167–179
- Bandelow B, Alvarez Tichauer G, Späth C, Broocks A, Hajak G, Bleich S, Rütger E: Separation anxiety and actual separation experiences during childhood in patients with panic disorder. *Can J Psychiatry* 2001; 46:948–952
- Silove D, Manicavasagar V, Drobny J: Associations between juvenile and adult forms of separation anxiety disorder: a study of adult volunteers with histories of school refusal. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2002; 190:413–415
- Hayward C, Wilson KA, Lagle K, Killen JD, Taylor CB: Parent-reported predictors of adolescent panic attacks. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2004; 43:613–620
- Biederman J, Petty C, Faraone SV, Hirshfeld-Becker DR, Henin A, Rauf A, Scott M, Pollack M, Rosenbaum JF: Childhood antecedents to panic disorder in referred and nonreferred adults. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2005; 15:549–561
- Ayuso JL, Alfonso S, Rivera A: Childhood separation anxiety and panic disorder: a comparative study. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 1989; 13:665–671
- Balon R, Yeragani VK, Pohl R: Higher frequency of separation anxiety in panic disorder patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1989; 146:1351
- Battaglia M, Bertella S, Politi E, Bernardeschi L, Perna G, Gabriele A, Bellodi L: Age at onset of panic disorder: influence

- of familial liability to the disease and of childhood separation anxiety disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 1995; 152:1362–1364
17. Biederman J, Petty C, Faraone SV, Hirshfeld-Becker DR, Henin A, Pollack MH, Rosenbaum JF: Patterns of comorbidity in panic disorder and major depression: findings from a nonreferred sample. *Depress Anxiety* 2005; 21:55–60
 18. Biederman J, Petty CR, Faraone SV, Hirshfeld-Becker DR, Henin A, Brauer L, Kaufman B, Rosenbaum JF: Antecedents to panic disorder in nonreferred adults. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2006; 67:1179–1186
 19. Biederman J, Petty CR, Hirshfeld-Becker DR, Henin A, Faraone SV, Fraire M, Henry B, McQuade J, Rosenbaum JF: Developmental trajectories of anxiety disorders in offspring at high risk for panic disorder and major depression. *Psychiatry Res* 2007; 153:245–252
 20. Bittner A, Egger HL, Erkanli A, Jane Costello E, Foley DL, Angold A: What do childhood anxiety disorders predict? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2007; 48:1174–1183
 21. Flakierska-Praquin N, Lindström M, Gillberg C: School phobia with separation anxiety disorder: a comparative 20- to 29-year follow-up study of 35 school refusers. *Compr Psychiatry* 1997; 38:17–22
 22. Gregory AM, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Koenen K, Eley TC, Poulton R: Juvenile mental health histories of adults with anxiety disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2007; 164:301–308
 23. Hayward C, Killen JD, Kraemer HC, Taylor CB: Predictors of panic attacks in adolescents. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2000; 39:207–214
 24. Hayward C, Killen JD, Taylor CB: The relationship between agoraphobia symptoms and panic disorder in a non-clinical sample of adolescents. *Psychol Med* 2003; 33:733–738
 25. Lipsitz JD, Martin LY, Mannuzza S, Chapman TF, Liebowitz MR, Klein DF, Fyer AJ: Childhood separation anxiety disorder in patients with adult anxiety disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 1994; 151:927–929
 26. Mroczkowski MM, Goes FS, Riddle MA, Grados MA, Bienvenu OJ 3rd, Greenberg BD, Fyer AJ, McCracken JT, Rauch SL, Murphy DL, Knowles JA, Piacentini J, Cullen B, Rasmussen SA, Geller DA, Pauls DL, Liang KY, Nestadt G, Samuels JF: Separation anxiety disorder in OCD. *Depress Anxiety* 2011; 28:256–262
 27. Pine DS, Cohen P, Gurley D, Brook J, Ma Y: The risk for early-adulthood anxiety and depressive disorders in adolescents with anxiety and depressive disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998; 55:56–64
 28. Pini S, Abelli M, Mauri M, Muti M, Iazzetta P, Banti S, Cassano GB: Clinical correlates and significance of separation anxiety in patients with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord* 2005; 7:370–376
 29. Pini S, Abelli M, Shear KM, Cardini A, Lari L, Gesi C, Muti M, Calugi S, Galderisi S, Troisi A, Bertolino A, Cassano GB: Frequency and clinical correlates of adult separation anxiety in a sample of 508 outpatients with mood and anxiety disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2010; 122:40–46
 30. Raskin M, Peeke HV, Dickman W, Pinsker H: Panic and generalized anxiety disorders. Developmental antecedents and precipitants. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1982; 39:687–689
 31. Yeragani VK, Meiri PC, Balon R, Patel H, Pohl R: History of separation anxiety in patients with panic disorder and depression and normal controls. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1989; 79:550–556
 32. Otto MW, Pollack MH, Maki KM, Gould RA, Worthington JJ 3rd, Smoller JW, Rosenbaum JF: Childhood history of anxiety disorders among adults with social phobia: rates, correlates, and comparisons with patients with panic disorder. *Depress Anxiety* 2001; 14:209–213
 33. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative: The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2008; 61:344–349
 34. Brockwell SE, Gordon IR: A comparison of statistical methods for meta-analysis. *Stat Med* 2001; 20:825–840
 35. DerSimonian R, Laird N: Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986; 7:177–188
 36. Cochran WG: The comparison of percentages in matched samples. *Biometrika* 1950; 37:256–266
 37. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG: Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003; 327:557–560
 38. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C: Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; 315:629–634
 39. Begg CB, Mazumdar M: Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 1994; 50:1088–1101
 40. Rosenthal R: *Meta-analytic Procedures for Social Research*. Beverly Hills, CA, Sage Press, 1984
 41. Duval S, Tweedie R: A nonparametric “trim and fill” method of accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *J Am Stat Assoc* 2000; 95:89–98
 42. Altman DG, Bland JM: Interaction revisited: the difference between two estimates. *BMJ* 2003; 326:219
 43. Thornton A, Lee P: Publication bias in meta-analysis: its causes and consequences. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2000; 53:207–216
 44. Møller AP, Jennions MD: Testing and adjusting for publication bias. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2001; 16:580–586
 45. Brewin CR, Andrews B, Gotlib IH: Psychopathology and early experience: a reappraisal of retrospective reports. *Psychol Bull* 1993; 113:82–98
 46. Silove D, Manicavasagar V, O’Connell D, Blaszczynski A, Wagner R, Henry J: The development of the Separation Anxiety Symptom Inventory (SASI). *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 1993; 27:477–488
 47. Pine DS, Costello J, Dahl R, James R, Leckman J, Leibenluft E, Klein RG, Rapoport J, Shaffer D, Taylor E, Zeanah C: Increasing the developmental focus in DSM-V: Broad issues and specific potential applications in anxiety, in *The conceptual evolution of DSM-5*. Edited by Rogier DA, Narrow WE, Kuhl EA, Kumpfer DJ. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Publishing, 2011, pp 305–321
 48. Lavalley K, Herren C, Blatter-Meunier J, Adornetto C, In-Albon T, Schneider S: Early predictors of separation anxiety disorder: early stranger anxiety, parental pathology and prenatal factors. *Psychopathology* 2011; 44:354–361
 49. Masten AS, Roisman GI, Long JD, Burt KB, Obradovic J, Riley JR, Boelcke-Stennes K, Tellegen A: Developmental cascades: linking academic achievement and externalizing and internalizing symptoms over 20 years. *Dev Psychol* 2005; 41:733–746
 50. Sroufe LA, Rutter M: The domain of developmental psychopathology. *Child Dev* 1984; 55:17–29
 51. Scaini S, Ogliari A, Eley TC, Zavos HM, Battaglia M: Genetic and environmental contributions to separation anxiety: a meta-analytic approach to twin data. *Depress Anxiety* 2012; 29:754–761
 52. Eley TC: Contributions of behavioral genetics research: Quantifying genetic, shared environmental and nonshared environmental influences, in *The developmental psychopathology of anxiety*. Edited by Vasey MW, Dadds MR. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2001, pp 45–59
 53. Kendler KS, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ: Childhood parental loss and adult psychopathology in women. A twin study perspective. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1992; 49:109–116
 54. Kendler KS, Prescott CA, Myers J, Neale MC: The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003; 60:929–937
 55. Hettema JM, Prescott CA, Myers JM, Neale MC, Kendler KS: The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for anxiety

- disorders in men and women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; 62: 182–189
56. Eley TC, Rijdsdijk FV, Perrin S, O'Connor TG, Bolton D: A multivariate genetic analysis of specific phobia, separation anxiety and social phobia in early childhood. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2008; 36:839–848
 57. Topolski TD, Hewitt JK, Eaves LJ, Silberg JL, Meyer JM, Rutter M, Pickles A, Simonoff E: Genetic and environmental influences on child reports of manifest anxiety and symptoms of separation anxiety and overanxious disorders: a community-based twin study. *Behav Genet* 1997; 27:15–28
 58. Feigon SA, Waldman ID, Levy F, Hay DA: Genetic and environmental influences on separation anxiety disorder symptoms and their moderation by age and sex. *Behav Genet* 2001; 31:403–411
 59. Eley TC, Bolton D, O'Connor TG, Perrin S, Smith P, Plomin R: A twin study of anxiety-related behaviours in pre-school children. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2003; 44:945–960
 60. Roberson-Nay R, Klein DF, Klein RG, Mannuzza S, Moulton JL 3rd, Guardino M, Pine DS: Carbon dioxide hypersensitivity in separation-anxious offspring of parents with panic disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 67:1171–1177
 61. Roberson-Nay R, Eaves LJ, Hettema JM, Kendler KS, Silberg JL: Childhood separation anxiety disorder and adult onset panic attacks share a common genetic diathesis. *Depress Anxiety* 2012; 29:320–327
 62. Battaglia M, Pesenti-Gritti P, Medland SE, Oglari A, Tambs K, Spatola CA: A genetically informed study of the association between childhood separation anxiety, sensitivity to CO₂, panic disorder, and the effect of childhood parental loss. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2009; 66:64–71
 63. Shear MK: Factors in the etiology and pathogenesis of panic disorder: revisiting the attachment-separation paradigm. *Am J Psychiatry* 1996; 153(Suppl):125–136
 64. Schneider S, Blatter-Meunier J, Herren C, Adornetto C, In-Albon T, Lavalley K: Disorder-specific cognitive-behavioral therapy for separation anxiety disorder in young children: a randomized waiting-list-controlled trial. *Psychother Psychosom* 2011; 80: 206–215